PORT OF SEATTLE MEMORANDUM

| COMMISSION AGENDA | Item No. | 6c | |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | Date of Meeting | April 6, 2010 | |

DATE: February 24, 2010

TO: Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer

FROM: Michael Burke, Director, Cargo and Container Operations

Ticson Mach, Capital Project Manager

SUBJECT: Fender system repairs at Terminal 18

Amount of This Request: \$143,000 **Source of Funds:** General Fund

Total Project Amount: \$965,000

ACTION REQUESTED:

Request authorization for the Chief Executive Officer to prepare design and permit applications for the repair of the damaged fender system at Terminal 18 in the amount of \$143,000, for a total authorization to date of \$273,000, and a total estimated (pre-design) project cost of \$965,000.

SYNOPSIS:

The project consists of repair and replacement of the fender piles and supporting members at Terminal 18. The fender system is designed to protect the main apron structure of the dock from the initial impact made by a berthing barge/vessel. The fender system for this portion of Terminal 18 was replaced in 2003/2004, but, in January 2009, it was found to have a latent defect which exposes the apron structure to possible damage during normal berthing activities. This project was not included in the 2010 Operating Budget because it had not been identified as a Port obligation when the 2010 Budget was prepared. The costs incurred in 2010 will result in an unfavorable operating expense variance which the Seaport will strive to offset with other savings. The cost of the work to be performed in 2011 will be included in the proposed 2011 Operating Budget. The preliminary estimated (pre-design) cost of the project is \$965,000.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

The project is located within bent 62 to 162 (approximate footmark 2900 to 4900) at Terminal 18 along the waterside of the concrete apron. The timber fender system is designed to absorb the initial impact from a berthing vessel/barge to protect the main apron structure. Periodic repair and replacement of fender piles is typical during the life of the system. The scope of work consists of repair and replacement of approximately 14 percent of the fender piles and their

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer February 24, 2010 Page 2 of 5

supporting members. The preliminary cost estimate for the project is \$965,000. It is projected to be completed in 2011.

The fender system for this portion of the Terminal 18 dock was replaced by the port as part of the berth deepening project in 2003/2004. This deepening to -50 feet was an obligation of the port per the Terminal 18 lease.

The fender system damages were first discovered in January 2009 during the Terminal 18 Maintenance Dredging Project. Port Engineering conducted a detailed inspection of the damages in August 2009, and concluded that the damages may be attributed to a combination of design, construction and operational issues as described in the following:

- 1) Excessive notching at the back of fender piles.
- 2) Restricted movement between the timber walkway and the concrete bullrail.
- 3) Fender piles out of alignment.
- 4) Excessive vessel or barge berthing force.

The broken fender piles created a void in the fender system. With barges or small vessels berthing at an angle, the front corners of the barges or vessels could reach and hit the structural/bearing concrete piles. Split piles will also have less fendering capacity in keeping the vessels from going underneath the dock. The apron structure is exposed to possible damage with ongoing berthing activities. Staff therefore recommends proceeding with the work immediately. The Design Errors and Omissions Team will evaluate and determine contractual responsibilities of the parties involved.

PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK AND SCHEDULE:

Based on the Engineering inspection, three levels of repair effort are recommended, and the project scope includes:

- Trimming of the pile supporting members to allow sufficient movement between the timber walkway and the concrete bullrail.
- Install steel bands on piles with minor splitting to prevent or delay further splitting.
- Prepare engineering design, permits, cost estimate, schedule, and construction documents for the replacement of the broken fender piles. An existing Docks IDIQ contract will be utilized for these services.

In an effort to minimize further fender pile damages, the trimming of the supporting members is completed and steel bands installation is in progress.

The pile banding work will require additional inspection to observe the effectiveness of the repair. Engineering anticipates to see more split (weakened) piles broken off, and needing replacement over the continued life of the timber fendering system. The banding work is intended to extend the life of compromised piles in the fender system and it is anticipated that

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer February 24, 2010 Page 3 of 5

further repair may be necessary. The current fender system is approximately 6 1/2 years old. A typical design life for the timber fender system is 10 years, though we often see fender systems lasting substantially longer, especially at container berths. Future replacement of the fender system would be a tenant obligation if the fender system is properly designed and installed.

Tentative Project Schedule:

| Commission Authorization | April 06, 2010 |
|---|-------------------|
| Procure Engineering Services | April 23, 2010 |
| Permit Submitted | May 23, 2010 |
| 100% Design Completed | August 23, 2010 |
| Commission Authorization for Construction | September 7, 2010 |
| Estimated Begin Construction | February 01, 2011 |
| Estimated Construction Complete | March 15, 2011 |

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES:

This project supports the Port's strategies to "Ensure Airport and Seaport Vitality", by:

- Replacing the fender system at Terminal 18 so that the Terminal can continue service for berthing.
- Replacement of the damaged fender piles and its supporting structures in this area is basically a maintenance project for the Seaport. It will help protect dock revenue the Port currently receives.

BUSINESS PLAN OBJECTIVES:

The purpose of the project is to maintain the use of berth.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS:

Budget/Authorization Summary

| Previous Authorizations (authorized by Seaport Division Director) | \$130,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Current request for authorization | \$143,000 |
| Total Authorizations, including this request | \$273,000 |
| Remaining budget to be authorized (estimated construction costs) | \$692,000 |
| Total Estimated Project Cost (pending final design) | \$965,000 |

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer February 24, 2010 Page 4 of 5

Project Cost Breakdown

| Design | \$85,000 |
|---|-----------|
| Project Management | \$41,000 |
| Permitting | \$41,000 |
| Construction – trimming & steel banding | \$106,000 |
| Subtotal – current authorization request | \$273,000 |
| Estimated Construction – piling replacements | \$692,000 |
| Total Estimated Project Cost (pending final design) | \$965,000 |

Source of Funds

This project was not included in the 2010 Operating Budget because it had not been identified as a Port obligation when the 2010 Budget was prepared. The cost of this project will be recorded as an operating expense in the year in which the work is performed. Of the estimated \$965,000 total project cost, \$300,000 of the work is expected to be performed in 2010 with the remaining \$665,000 of work expected to be performed in 2011. The costs incurred in 2010 will result in an unfavorable operating expense variance. Seaport Division will strive to offset this incremental operating expense with savings in other operating expenses. The cost of the work expected to be performed in 2011 will be included in the proposed 2011 Operating Budget.

This project will be funded from the general fund.

Financial Analysis Summary

| CIP Category | Renewal/Enhancement |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Project Type | Renewal & Replacement |
| Risk adjusted Discount | N/A |
| rate | |
| Key risk factors | Construction costs may increase if necessary repairs are more extensive than currently known. The effectiveness of steel banding to minimize further damage to the split piles is not known, and will require ongoing monitoring. The replacement of additional fender piles may be needed if pile banding does not fully prevent the remaining split (weakened) piles from breaking off. |
| Project cost for analysis | \$965,000 (preliminary cost estimate) |
| Business Unit (BU) | Container Operations |

Tay Yoshitani, Chief Executive Officer February 24, 2010 Page 5 of 5

| Effect on business | Fender repairs will preserve existing revenue from Terminal 18 | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------|------------|------------|--|
| performance | container operations. Depreciation Expense will not be impacted by | | | | |
| | this project, as this repair is an operating expense. | | | | |
| | Of the estimated \$965,000 total project cost, \$300,000 of the work is expected to be performed in 2010 with the remaining \$665,000 of work expected to be performed in 2011. | | | | |
| | NOI (in \$000's) 2010 2011 TOTAL | | | | |
| | Incremental Revenue Incremental OpExp | (300) | - (665) | - (965) | |
| | NOI | (\$300) | (\$665) | (\$965) | |
| | Depreciation | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | NOI After Depreciation | (\$300) | (\$665) | (\$965) | |
| IRR/NPV | N/A | _ | | | |

SUSTAINABILITY AND LIFE CYCLE COSTS:

This is a temporary repair to maintain terminal operation. Value Engineering will be conducted at the end of the system design life to determine best fender system for current and future use of the terminal.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS:

- Alternative 1: Proceeding with the design, bid package and replacement of the damaged timber fender system would enable the division to minimize further risk of operation impact, and damage to apron structure.
- Do Nothing: Continue monitoring of the timber fender system. The apron structure is exposed to possible damage with ongoing berthing activities, creating a safety hazard for the operation, significant cost for repair, and lengthier impact to operation.

PREVIOUS COMMISSION ACTIONS OR BRIEFINGS:

None